

FEDERATION OF ARMS COLLECTORS AND TARGET SHOOTERS

Registered with SportsMalta, Reference F007, by the Founding Members
Association of Arms Collectors & Target Shooters - Association of Maltese Arms Collectors & Shooters

E-mail: facts@gmail.com

FACTS QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CANDIDATES IN THE 2019 MEP ELECTIONS Report updated 20 May 2019

FACTS is publishing the results of the questionnaire it circulated to candidates contesting the MEP elections, which are being held on 25 May.

There are 41 candidates contesting these elections. Our questionnaire reached 36 of them. 17 replied and 7 accepted our invitation to meet with us.

Given that every candidate had an equal opportunity to show his/her interest in matters which are of utmost importance to us, FACTS is advising its members to completely ignore those MEPs who ignored our call.

Consequently, we are only considering the 17 candidates who responded and/or came to meet us. Should any of the other candidates choose to reply, we shall update our data and inform our community accordingly. If you know any of the candidates who have not responded please feel free to bring the matter to their urgent attention.

We are presenting the results in a factual way along with notes drawn from what the candidates stated and/or have achieved in matters which are relevant to our sport and passion. The object of this exercise is to identify candidates who stand a chance of being elected and who would be in the best position to represent our interests in Brussels.

Naturally, our position should be considered in the light of our community members' political beliefs and personal preferences based on matters of national importance.

Table No. 1: Contesting parties and their total number of candidates, the number of candidates who should have received our questionnaire, the number of responses and the number of meetings held/to be held with our representatives.

2019 MEP ELECTIONS - FACTS QUESTIONNAIRE

Document 1/4: Response by parties

Candidate Party	Cand.	Q. sent	Replied	%	Meeting
Alleanza Bidla	2	2	1	50%	0
Alternattiva Demokratika	2	2	0	0%	0
Brain Not Ego	1	0	0	0%	0
Imperium Europa	1	1	1	100%	0
Independents	5	1	1	100%	0
Moviment Patrijotti Maltin	2	2	1	50%	0
Partit Demokratiku	4	4	1	25%	1
Partit Laburista	14	14	5	36%	2
Partit Nazzjonalista	10	10	7	70%	3
	41	36	17	47%	6

Table No. 2: Breakdown of the figures in the first one, showing the response among individual candidates.

2019 MEP ELECTIONS - FACTS QUESTIONNAIRE

Document 2/4: Response by candidates

Document 2/4: Response by candidates										
Candidate Party	Surname	Name	Cand.		Replied	Met				
Alleanza Bidla	Dalli Gonzi	Rebecca	1	1						
	Grech Mintoff	Ivan	1	1	1					
Alternattiva	Cacopardo	Carmel	11	1						
Demokratika	Tolu	Romina	1	1						
Brain Not Ego	Borg	Antoine Paul	1							
Imperium Europa	Lowell	Norman	11	1	1					
Independent Candidate	Aquilina	Joseph	1							
	Bonnici	Nazareno	11							
	Borg	Mario	1							
	Cassola	Arnold	1	1	1					
	Florian	Stephen	1							
Moviment Patrijotti	Borg	Simon	1	1	1					
Maltin	Megally	Naged	1	1						
Partit	Appelgren	Camilla	1	1						
	Buttigieg	Anthony Emanuel	1	1						
Demokratiku	Cauchi Inglott	Martin	1	1	1	1				
	Farrugia	Godfrey	1	1						
Partit Laburista	Agius Saliba	Alexander Matthew	1	1	1	1				
	Caruana	Josef	1	1						
	Cassar	Noel	1	1						
	Cutajar	Josianne	1	1	1					
	Dalli	Miriam	1	1	1					
	Engerer	Cyrus	1	1						
	Galea Busuttil	Felix	1	1						
	Gauci	Mary	1	1						
	Grech	James	1	1						
	Micallef	Robert	1	1	1					
	Sammut	Joseph Mario	1	1						
	Sant	Alfred	1	1	1	1				
	Vassallo	Lorna	1	1		-				
	Vella	Fleur Anne	1	1						
	Agius	Peter	1	1						
	Borg	Roselyn	1	1	1	1				
	Borg	Dione	1	1	·					
	Briguglio	Michael	1	1	1					
	Casa	David	1	1	1	1				
	Mercieca	Michael	1	1	1	•				
	Metsola	Roberta	1	1	1					
	Psaila	Frank	1	1	1					
	Stellini	David	1	1	'					
	Zammit Dimech	Francis	1	1	1	1				
		i idilois	41	36	17	6				
			41	30	17	U				

Table No. 3: Questions posed by FACTS and the candidates' replies to them

2019 MEP ELECTIONS - FACTS QUESTIONNAIRE

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS, LISTED IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER BY CANDIDATES' SURNAME

Alex Agius Saliba (PL) Yes, in fact I have applied to get a firearms licence after May (TSL-A & B). (Question no. 2)

Simon Borg (MPM) As you can confirm from the attached image (shooting course certificate), I share the same hobby and interest in firearms, and I am more than willing to serve you in the best way an MEP can. (Additional Comment)

Roselyn Borg Knight (PN) I truly enjoyed meeting you. (Question no.2)

David Casa (PN) I would be very interested in visiting the range. (Comment written on questionnaire)

Arnold Cassola (Independent) YES, if this does not entail the killing of animals. (Question no. 5); Yes, if this means "As currently permitted under Maltese law" i.e. for target shooting or collectors purposes only, in line with EU Directive. (Question no. 6)

Miriam Dalli (PL) You guys know what I did on the firearms directive - it's the work done during 5 years that should count and not the promises on the eve of an election. (Comment sent on Messenger)

Norman Lowell (IE) We would encourage every law-abiding citizen to own at least one pistol and one rifle for self-defence purposes. Further info here. (Additional Comment).

Ivan Grech Mintoff (AB) I am looking forward to seeing how the Swiss will be reacting to the latest attempts of the EU to stop the LEGAL ownership of specific weapons which impedes on their present, sovereign rights. (Comment in email message).

Michael Mercieca (PN) Yes as long as the safety of everyone is always protected. (Question no. 6)

Roberta Metsola (PN): Our starting point should be that most of the firearms in the EU should not be equated to criminals or terrorists. We have to be careful to ensure that any legislation treats the large number of law-abiding collectors and enthusiasts in the EU fairly. This has always been my guiding principle when it comes to these issues. Just as Museums should be excluded from any firearms legislation, so should bone fide collectors. The regulation of Collectors and Museums is a Member State competence and I believe it should remain this way. Any changes to the current legislation should be proportionate. Many experts regard the Maltese firearms legislation as a good basis to find a balance between security and the rights of enthusiasts. This could be an example of good practice going forward. (Additional Comment).

Alfred Sant (PL) Yes but with full regard to the security problems raised by the essential need to safeguard against terrorist and organised criminal activity. (Question no. 6)

Francis Zammit Dimech (PN) I had extended my utmost support to your Federation at national level and will welcome having a meeting with you before the elections. (Comment written on questionnaire)

COMMENTS REGARDING CANDIDATES' REPLY TO QUESTION NO. 4

Our question (*Did you play any role in supporting legal firearm ownership and use during the EU Parliamentary debate on the Firearms Directive?*) naturally only applies to four candidates who served in EU parliament at the time that the Arms Directive was being discussed. These are David Casa (PN), Miriam Dalli (PL), Roberta Metsola (PN) and Alfred Sant (PL).

Only two of these four MEPs served on the IMCO and LIBE committees, which were responsible for the parliamentary discussion on the Arms Directive and its final position prior to the trialogues. It is to be noted that both Miriam Dalli and Roberta Metsola displayed extraordinary diligence in steering the discussion in a favourable direction against the Commission's outrageous proposal. In Dr Dalli's case, this was quite remarkable as the S&D group, which she forms part of, was not favourably inclined towards our cause. As many will recall, it was the S&D's position which shifted the compromise in a direction which resulted in the useless restrictions which feature in the current Directive, causing it to be implemented in a very restrictive manner by some countries where S&D members have a say.

Dr Sant did play a role in these proceedings, even though he was not directly involved, while Mr Casa stated during our meeting that he followed the case as head of the PN delegation.

In the end all four MEP's voted along political group lines for the approval of the Directive when it was being challenged by a considerable number of MEPs in collaboration with us as stakeholders. However, it was their view that had the Compromise not been approved, the Commission would have come back with an even harsher proposal.

RECOMMENDATIONS

As stated earlier, we cannot consider those candidates who did not bother to reply to our questionnaire. On the contrary, we may only assume that they do not give any value to our sport and passion. Consequently, we are forwarding the replies and comments of the seventeen respondents for our members' evaluation.

We recommend that members support those MEPs who have a track record of having supported our cause locally and internationally as well as those who have displayed a particularly favourable stance during these recent exchanges and committed to support us in writing.

We should bear in mind that next year the EU Commission intends to review the level of implementation in Member States and recommend further changes. We need loyal and competent Maltese MEPs in Brussels who fully support our position and are ready to defend our sensible legislation and even promote improvements in the Directive. Do not waste your vote.